

Humans in the Closed-Loop

- Closed-loop systems
 - Monitor – assess – decide – actuate – repeat
 - Goal: semi-autonomous control
 - Effective, stable, safe closed-loop control depends on high-fidelity system models
 - What is the state of the system?
 - What effect do we expect the actuation to have?
- In smart health systems, humans are typically somewhere in the loop
 - **Plant – i.e., that which we want to “control”**
 - Monitor: providing input (e.g., EMAs, surveys, etc.)
 - Actuate: perform decided action (e.g., take/dispense medication, alter environment, change/perform behavior, etc.)
- Humans and human dynamics pose significant modeling challenges
 - Physiology – getting better at this, but much work is needed for personalization and full-system
 - Psychology, behavior, interpersonal dynamics – critical work needed
 - Highly stochastic, dynamic, individualistic, ...
- Humans in the closed-loop challenges:
 - Inputs and actions can be unreliable/unpredictable (monitor, actuate)
 - Actuation may not have desired impact (plant)

Safety Assurance

- Closed-loop control has heightened safety risks and requires strong safety assurances
- System safety assurance challenges (all interrelated)
 - Humans in the closed-loop
 - What assumptions must be made about human plants, monitors, actuators?
 - Use “in the wild”
 - What assumptions must be made about environment, context?
 - System-of-systems
 - What must be known about components?
 - What must component manufacturers know about system requirements?
 - Modeling
 - What models can be developed to strengthen safety assurance?
 - Experimentation
 - What experimentation is necessary/sufficient for safety assurance?