

CCC Economics and Fairness: Platforms

Ayelet Israeli, Discussion



HARVARD | BUSINESS | SCHOOL

Equality of earning opportunities for drivers on Uber

Centralized pricing platform – market outcomes

- Hall, Horton, Knoepfle – market level
 - On average the price increases do not substantially change the hourly earning rate of drivers in the long run.
 - There has to be heterogeneity in preferences for low/high utilization. Prices might affect equality via this channel.
- Cook, Diamond, Hall, List, Oyer – gender earning gap (7%) despite centralized pricing
 - Due to: experience on platform, preferences over where to work, driving speed
- Ge, Knittel, MacKenzie, Zoepf 2016 – higher cancellation, longer wait time for African Americans. Longer, more expensive rides for women (old pricing system)

Centralized pricing platform – market outcomes

- Pre-Uber - taxis:
 - Documented discrimination and access issues in certain neighborhoods
 - Less transparency on route, prices, driver, etc
 - No penalty for bad behavior (via ratings)
 - Unavailability during high demand periods

Discrimination in online Markets / Airbnb

On the internet, nobody knows you're a dog



"On the Internet, nobody knows you're a dog."

Source: 1993 New Yorker Cartoon,
Also in: Scott-Morton, Zetzelmeier, Silva-Risso, 2003

Enter platforms. Phase 1: “third party” sellers

- No longer a legitimate / known business selling a product
 - Most of the risk on the buyer side
- Platforms resolve by:
 - Service and guarantees to protect the buyer
 - Seller ratings and reviews

Platforms phase 2: online p2p / sharing / matching markets

- No longer a business selling a product
 - Individual selling service (Dating - matching individuals)
 - Risk on both "seller" and "buyer" side (asset / life)
 - Want to figure out who is a "dog"
- Platforms resolve by:
 - Transparency of self reported information
 - Verified information
 - Ratings and reviews
- But discrimination / inequality persists

Simple model to rationalize discrimination

- 2 types of buyers (L/H, wrt some underlying quality)
- Seller doesn't know type
- Receives information signals instead
- Signal D is a demographic characteristic. Noisy signal corresponding with some biases or beliefs or stereotypes wrt the underlying characteristic
- Signal Q is highly correlated with underlying characteristic
- Turns out, that when sellers only have D, they use D as a proxy (resulting in discrimination), when they have both D and Q, they update to Q (resulting in equality)

Spirit of: Busse, Israeli, Zettelmeyer, 2017

Simple model to rationalize discrimination

- 2 types of buyers (L/H, wrt some underlying quality)
- Seller doesn't know type
- Receives information signals instead
- Signal D is a demographic characteristic. Noisy signal corresponding with some biases or beliefs or stereotypes wrt the underlying characteristic
- Signal Q is highly correlated with underlying characteristic
- Turns out, that when sellers only have D, they use D as a proxy (resulting in discrimination), when they have both D and Q, they update to Q (resulting in equality)

Spirit of: Busse, Israeli, Zettelmeyer, 2017



Question: how to ensure receiving the Q signal?

Some solutions

- Suggestion 1 (Edelman, Luca, Svirsky): eliminate (or postpone) D signal
 - Issue: might still be revealed later / backfire later / impact reviews
- Suggestion 2 (Edelman, Luca, Svirsky): no deliberation – auto booking
- Suggestion 3 (Fishman and Luca, Levy & Barocas): make discrimination policies top of mind / collect information / disable certain filtering
- Suggestion 4 (Uber type model): centralized pricing
 - Issue: same + inherent differences might still generate inequality (e.g. gender pay-gap in Uber)

Some solutions

- Suggestion 5: add Q signal if doesn't exist
 - Issue in platforms – how do you get the first review if no one wants to rate you?
- Suggestion 6: “Affirmative action”, e.g. a bonus for diverse hosts / female drivers or “diversity badge”
 - Issue: usual criticisms of affirmative action; badge can backfire for bigot guests
- Suggestion 7: Weed out discriminating individuals from platform
 - Issue: what is the portion of discriminators? Will market thickness be affected?
- Suggestion 8: Reveal D later + cancellation penalty
 - Issue: might be less optimal than current cancellation practices

Regulation: Fair Housing

- Hotels (and public accommodations) in the U.S. are not allowed to discriminate based on race, color, religion, national origin
- Fair housing act (FHA) prohibits discrimination in housing specifically, usually for longer-term rentals and sales
- Private accommodations: owner with 4 or less units, renting an entire apartment or a room is exempt from FHA (can't advertise in some states, but allowed to discriminate)
 - Pick a roommate / tenant based on gender / race / similar characteristics
- Is Airbnb public or private accommodation?
 - Airbnb has separate properties and bed/room within apartment. Should these be regulated similarly or are differentially?

Regulation: Disabilities Act

- Regulation around disabilities
 - The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) extends protected status in public transportation and accommodations to people with both mental and physical disabilities
 - In most cities, proportion of vehicles / hotel rooms should accommodate wheelchairs
- Both Uber and Airbnb compliance with this regulation is problematic – many owners/drivers own one unit

Should we regulate these platforms?

- Possible objection to regulation of software platforms is that current laws protect these platforms and they cannot be regulated, or as a matter of law they should not be liable for conduct of others
- Most "providers" are individuals, not businesses
- But is legal intervention required?
- What are the priorities for regulation?
- Are offline / legal / societal norms sensible for these platforms?

Source: Edelman and Geradin 2016

Other fairness considerations

- Low income consumers are priced out
- Individuals with no access to smartphone / network don't have access
- Indirect effects:
 - Incumbent industry (hotels/taxi/public transport)
 - Affordable housing
 - Societal effects (short term renters, public transport)
- Licensing and taxation